Constructive Tensorial Group Field Theory II: The $U(1) - T_4^4$ Model

Abstract

In this paper we continue our program of non-pertubative constructions of tensorial group field theories (TGFT). We prove analyticity and Borel summability in a suitable domain of the coupling constant of the simplest super-renormalizable TGFT which contains some ultraviolet divergencies, namely the color-symmetric quartic melonic rank-four model with Abelian $U(1)$ gauge invariance, nicknamed $U(1) - T_4^4$. We use a multiscale loop vertex expansion. It is an extension of the loop vertex expansion (the basic constructive technique for non-local theories) which is required for theories that involve non-trivial renormalization.

arXiv:1510.05051v1 [hep-th] 16 Oct 2015

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 The Field Theory **2**
2.1 A rank 4 Abelian TGFT 2
2.2 Power counting and melons 3
2.3 Counter-terms and Renormalization 4
2.4 Hubbard-Stratonovic decomposition 5

3 Multi-scale Loop Vertex Expansion **7**
3.1 Slicing intermediate field decomposition 7
3.2 Two-levels jungle expansion 8

4 Bounds and convergence **10**
4.1 The Grassmann integrals 10
4.2 Bosonic integrals 11
4.3 Bound of the Bosonic integral 13
4.4 Final Bound 15

5 Conclusion **17**

6 Acknowledgments **17**

1 Introduction

This paper is a sequel to [1], in which we started a constructive program for tensorial group field theories (TGFT). Tensorial Group Field Theories (TGFT) are a new class of field theories proposed to quantize gravity (see [1] for references).

Constructive field theory is a set of techniques to resum perturbative quantum field theory in order to obtain a rigorous definition of quantities such as Schwinger functions for interacting models [30]. The loop vertex expansion (LVE) is a technique to treat constructively non local models in the ordinary sense [31, 38]. It works well for Bosonic theories without renormalization. In case of theories implying renormalization, such as the model of this paper, a simple LVE is not enough, and a multi-scale loop vertex expansion (MLVE) should be used, which expands higher and higher orders of perturbation theory only when they contain higher and higher ultraviolet scales [33]. At least in the simplest case of super-renormalizable models, it only requires to use *two* successive forest formulas (or equivalently, a two-level *jungle* formula [36]) instead of just one for the LVE [33, 34, 35].

This paper constructs non-perturbatively such a super-renormalizable Abelian TGFT with quartic melonic interaction at rank 4. With the MLVE technique, we prove convergence, analyticity and Borel summability theorems for the free energy and Schwinger functions of the model. Interestingly, we highlight the role of the *closure constraint*, an additional invariance coming from the GFTs, advocated as a necessary ingredient for the consistent interpretation of these models as encoding simplicial geometry. This closure constraint reduces the intermediate matrix fields, turning them into vector fields. At the technical level, this reduction considerably simplifies the proofs.

Our plan follows the standard one of papers on this subject [33, 35]. After recalling briefly elements already introduced in [1], we apply the MLVE strategy and prove analyticity and Borel summability for the free energy and Schwinger functions.

2 The Field Theory

2.1 A rank 4 Abelian TGFT

One considers the Tensorial Group Field Theory (TGFT) on the Abelian group manifold $U(1)^4$, defined, in Fourier components, by the generating functional:

$$\mathcal{Z}[J,\bar{J},\lambda] = \int d\mu_C(T,\bar{T}) e^{-S_{int}[T,\bar{T}]+\int \bar{J}T+\int \bar{T}J}, \tag{1}$$

with sources $J_{\vec{p}}$ and $\bar{J}_{\vec{p}}$ and the notation $\int \bar{J}T := \sum_{\vec{p}\in\mathbb{Z}^4} \bar{J}_{\vec{p}} T_{\vec{p}}$; the following definition for the covariance

$$\int d\mu_C(T,\bar{T}) \bar{T}_{\vec{p}'} T_{\vec{p}} = C_{\vec{p},\vec{p}'} = \delta_{\vec{p},\vec{p}'} \frac{\delta\big(\sum_i p_i\big)}{\vec{p}^2+m^2} \tag{2}$$

where the Kronecker delta $\delta\big(\sum_i p_i\big)$ implement the closure constraint [19, 17], and the action S_{int} is given by:

$$S_{int} = \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{\{\vec{p}_i\}} \mathcal{W}^{(i)}_{\vec{p_1},\vec{p_2},\vec{p_3},\vec{p_4}} T_{\vec{p}_1} \bar{T}_{\vec{p}_2} T_{\vec{p}_3} \bar{T}_{\vec{p}_4}, \tag{3}$$

where the symbols $\mathcal{W}^{(i)}$ are products of Kronecker deltas:

$$\mathcal{W}^{(i)}_{\vec{p_1},\vec{p_2},\vec{p_3},\vec{p_4}} = \delta_{p_{1i}p_{4i}} \delta_{p_{2i}p_{3i}} \prod_{j\neq i} \delta_{p_{1j}p_{2j}} \delta_{p_{3j}p_{4j}}. \tag{4}$$

Each term involved in the action S_{int}, with tensor indices contracted following the scheme defined by the products of deltas given by 4 is called *bubble*, and can be pictured graphically as a 4-colored bipartite regular graph, with black and white vertices corresponding respectively to the fields T and $\bar{T}$, joining together d lines per vertex, corresponding to the Kronecker deltas. As an example, the bubble with $i = 1$ is pictured in Figure 1.



Figure 1: Bipartite graph associated to the bubble $i = 1$.

Schwinger - or correlated - N-points functions can be defined by their Feynman expansion in power of λ, indexed by *Feynman graphs*:

$$S_N = \sum_{\{\mathcal{G}_N\}} \frac{(-\lambda)^{V(\mathcal{G}_N)}}{s(\mathcal{G}_N)} \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{G}_N} \tag{5}$$

where $\{\mathcal{G}_N\}$ is the set of graphs with N external lines, $V(\mathcal{G})$ the number of vertices in $\mathcal{G}$, $s(\mathcal{G})$ a symmetry factor, and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{G}_N}$ the Feynman amplitude. Any Feynman graph can be pictured graphically under the rule that bubble interaction vertices are pictured as in Figure 1, and Wick contractions are pictured by dotted lines joining two black and white vertices, both in the same bubble or not. Figure 2 gives an example of such a Feynman graph. Attributing color 0 for the dotted lines, so that a Feynman graph is nothing but a 5-colored graph.



Figure 2: Example of Feynman graph with 2 external lines and 3 vertices

2.2 Power counting and melons

Power counting has been established for such field theories in recent works [19, 20], using standard multi-scale analysis, and it has been proved that the divergent degree $\omega(\mathcal{G})$ for a Feynman graph $\mathcal{G}$ is given by:

$$\omega(\mathcal{G}) = -2L(\mathcal{G}) + F(\mathcal{G}) - R(\mathcal{G}). \tag{6}$$

In this formula, $L(\mathcal{G})$ and $F(\mathcal{G})$ are respectively the number of lines and faces of the Feynman graph $\mathcal{G}$, where a "face" is defined as a cycle of lines, and $R(\mathcal{G})$ is the rank of the incidence matrix ϵ_{fe}.

As explained before, the leading order contributions come from the set of melonic graphs [5, 6, 7], whose a definition is recalled in [1], and for which it can be shown that [19]:

$$F(\mathcal{G}) - R(\mathcal{G}) = 2(L(\mathcal{G}) - V(\mathcal{G}) + 1), \tag{7}$$

so that, taking into account the relationship $L(\mathcal{G}) = 2V(\mathcal{G}) - N(\mathcal{G})/2$, where N is the number of external lines, we find that the divergent degree writes as:

$$\omega(\mathcal{G}) = 2(1 - V(\mathcal{G})) \tag{8}$$

and the theory is *super-renormalizable*. ω is negative for $V > 1$. For $V = 1$, the degree vanishes, so that such a graph with one vertex diverges logarithmically. The two possible diagrams are pictured in Figure 3a and 3b. But by direct inspection, it can be shown that the graph of Figure 3b is finite, with divergent degree: $\omega = -2 + 1 - 1 = -2$. The *melopole*[1] of Figure 3a however has a vanishing divergent degree :$\omega = -2 + 3 - 1 = 0$, so that it diverges logarithmically. Hence, the only divergent graphs in our model are the melopole ones, the only ones that need to be renormalized[2].



Figure 3: The two configurations for tadpole graphs and the two vacuum graphs with one vertex

For a vacuum amplitude, the melonic bound 7 is replaced by [19]:

$$F(\mathcal{G}) - R(\mathcal{G}) = 2(L(\mathcal{G}) - V(\mathcal{G}) + 1) + 1 \tag{9}$$

where the $+1$ with respect to the non-vacuum case 7 can be understood as follows. From a non-vacuum graph with $2N$ external lines, the closure of the graph generates $3N + 1$ faces or less. A moment of reflexion shows that R increases by N, so that the optimal variation of $F - R$ is equal to $2N + 1$. At the same time, the number of internal lines increases by N, and the number of vertices does not change, so that the variation of $2(L - V + 1)$ is equal to $2N$. With the constraint $L(\mathcal{G}) = 2V(\mathcal{G}) - N(\mathcal{G})/2$, the divergent degree becomes:

$$\omega(\mathcal{G}) = 3 - 2V(\mathcal{G}). \tag{10}$$

One more time, the degree is negative for $V > 1$, and the two possible configurations for $V = 1$ are pictured in Figure 3c and 3d. A direct calculation shows that the contribution 3d converges. Indeed, there are $2 + 3 = 5$ faces, 2 lines and $R = 2$, so that: $\omega = -2 \times 2 + (5 - 2) = -1$. The melonic contribution 3c however, with $2 \times 3 + 1 = 7$ faces is linearly divergent: $\omega = -2 \times 2 + (7 - 2) = 1$, and must be renormalized.

2.3 Counter-terms and Renormalization

As seen previously, only the melopole needs to be renormalized. Let us start with the non-vacuum case. Let $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}_i}(p)$ the amplitude for a melopole $\mathcal{M}_i$, of color i. From Feynman rules, using sharp momentum regularization on discrete interval $[-N, N]$, one finds:

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}_i}(p) = -2\lambda \sum_{\vec{q} \in [-N,N]^4} \frac{\delta\big(\sum_{j=1}^4 q_j\big)}{\vec{q}^2 + m^2} \delta_{pq_i} \sim \ln(N), \tag{11}$$

[1] For our purpose, a melopole is a melonic tadpole

[2] The finiteness of the number of divergent graphs is a characteristic of super-renormalizable theories.

so that only the first term, $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}_i}(0)$ of its Taylor expansion around $p = 0$ diverges and must be subtracted. This subtraction can be systematically implemented with an appropriate ordering of the fields in the interaction S_{int}, called *melordering* [18], and consisting, for each melonic interaction bubble, in the subtraction of all the contractions over the *meloforest* of the corresponding vacuum melopole. These contractions appear as *mass counter-terms* in the *partially renormalized classical action* S^{PR}_{int}, defined as:

$$S^{PR}_{int}[\bar{T}, T] = \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{4} \mathrm{Tr}_{b_i}[\bar{T}, T] - 4\delta m^2 \int \bar{T}T, \tag{12}$$

with:

$$\delta m^2 := \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}_i}(0) = -2\lambda \sum_{\vec{q}\in[-N,N]^4} \frac{\delta\big(\sum_{j=1}^{4} q_j\big)}{\vec{q}^2 + m^2} \delta_{0q_i}, \tag{13}$$

so that all the non-vacuum amplitudes generated by the *non-vacuum renormalized functional generating*,

$$\mathcal{Z}_{ren}[\bar{J}, J] := \int d\mu_C[\bar{T}, T] e^{-S^R_{int}[\bar{T},T] + \langle \bar{J},T\rangle + \langle \bar{T},J\rangle}, \tag{14}$$

are finite. Taking into account the vacuum divergences requires additional counter-terms, subtracting divergent graphs. From the conclusions of the previous section, the vacuum melon graphs of the type of Figure 3c must be subtracted, requiring the counter-term (one for each bubble b_i):

$$CT^1_v = \lambda \sum_{\vec{q}_1\in[-N,N]^4} \sum_{\vec{q}_2\in[-N,N]^4} \frac{\delta\big(\sum_j q_{1j}\big)}{\vec{q}_1^2 + m^2} \frac{\delta\big(\sum_j q_{2j}\big)}{\vec{q}_2^2 + m^2} \delta_{q_{11}q_{21}}. \tag{15}$$

The index 1 signals the fact that an additional counter-term is necessary to make the vacuum contributions finite. Indeed, the mass counter-term introduced previously generates a divergent vacuum graph, and must be renormalized, with corresponding counter-term :

$$CT^2_v = -2\lambda \sum_{\vec{q}_1\in[-N,N]^4} \sum_{\vec{q}_2\in[-N,N]^4} \frac{\delta\big(\sum_j q_{1j}\big)}{\vec{q}_1^2 + m^2} \frac{\delta\big(\sum_j q_{1j}\big)}{\vec{q}_2^2 + m^2} \delta_{0q_{22}}. \tag{16}$$

Taking into account all these counter-terms, the *completely renormalized classical action*

$$S^R_{int}[\bar{T}, T] = \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{4} \mathrm{Tr}_{b_i}[\bar{T}, T] - 4\delta m^2 \int \bar{T}T - 4CT^1_v - 4CT^2_v$$

subtracts all the divergences of the original model, and all the amplitudes generated by the *completely renormalized generating functional*

$$\mathcal{Z}_{ren}[\bar{J}, J] := e^{4CT^1_v + 4CT^2_v} \int d\mu_C[\bar{T}, T] e^{-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{4} \mathrm{Tr}_{b_i}[\bar{T},T] + 4\delta m^2 \int \bar{T}T + \langle \bar{J},T\rangle + \langle \bar{T},J\rangle} \tag{17}$$

are finite. Interestingly, the subtractions needed for finiteness turn out as an improved melordering, as we will see in the next section.

2.4 Hubbard-Stratonovic decomposition

Hubbard-Stratonovic (or intermediate field) decomposition is the first ingredient of the Loop Vertex Expansion. The action 3 with counter-terms can be written as:

$$S^{PR}_{int} = \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{\{\vec{p}_i\}} \mathcal{W}^{(i)}_{\vec{p}_1,\vec{p}_2,\vec{p}_3,\vec{p}_4} T_{\vec{p}_1} \bar{T}_{\vec{p}_2} T_{\vec{p}_3} \bar{T}_{\vec{p}_4} - 4\delta m^2 \sum_{\vec{p}} \bar{T}_{\vec{p}} T_{\vec{p}}. \tag{18}$$

Hence, defining the three Hermitian matrices $\mathbb{M}^i$ with elements

$$\mathbb{M}^i_{mn} := \sum_{\{\vec{p}_1,\vec{p}_2\}} \prod_{j\neq i} \delta_{p_{1j}p_{2j}}\delta_{p_{1i}n}\delta_{p_{2i}m} T_{\vec{p}_1}\bar{T}_{\vec{p}_2}, \tag{19}$$

the renormalized action 18 can be rewritten as :

$$S^{PR}_{int} = \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{4} \left[\mathrm{tr}(\mathbb{M}^i)^2 - \delta m^2 \mathrm{tr}(\mathbb{M}^i) \right] \tag{20}$$

$$= \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{4} \mathrm{tr} \left[\mathbb{M}^i - \frac{\delta m^2}{\lambda} \right]^2 - 8N \frac{(\delta m^2)^2}{\lambda} \tag{21}$$

where "tr" means the trace over indices of the matrices $\mathbb{M}^i$. The last term can be added to the vacuum counter-terms, so that the renormalized classical action becomes:

$$S^R_{int} = \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{4} \mathrm{tr} \left[\mathbb{M}^i - \frac{\delta m^2}{\lambda} \right]^2 - 4 \left[2N \frac{(\delta m^2)^2}{\lambda} + CT^1_v + CT^2_v \right]. \tag{22}$$

The needed mass counter-term can then be absorbed in a global translation of the quartic interaction, provided, as explained at the end of the previous section an improved melordering. The intermediate field decomposition arises as an application of the well known properties of the Gaussian integration to the partition function 1. Denoting

$$X := 4 \left[2N \frac{(\delta m^2)^2}{\lambda} + CT^1_v + CT^2_v \right]$$

one find:

$$\mathcal{Z}[J,\bar{J},\lambda] = e^X \int d\nu_{\mathbb{I}}(\sigma) e^{-\mathrm{Tr}\ln(1-i\sqrt{2\lambda}C\Sigma) - i\sum_{j=1}^4 \sqrt{2\lambda}\bar{\delta}m^2 \mathrm{tr}[\sigma_i] - \bar{J}RJ} \tag{23}$$

where the integration over $\bar{T}, T$ have been performed, $R := (1 - i\sqrt{2\lambda}C\Sigma)^{-1}C$ is the *resolvent matrix*, $d\nu_{\mathbb{I}}(\sigma)$ is the normalized Gaussian integration over the σ_i ($\mathbb{I}$ designates the covariance), $\bar{\delta}m^2 := \delta m^2/\lambda$, and:

$$\Sigma := \sum_{i=1}^{4} \otimes_{j=1}^{i-1} \mathbb{I} \otimes \sigma_i \otimes_{i+1}^{4} \mathbb{I}. \tag{24}$$

The additional term $i\sum_{j=1}^4 \sqrt{2\lambda}\bar{\delta}m^2 \mathrm{tr}[\sigma_i]$ in 23 exactly compensates the divergences of the term of order $\sqrt{\lambda}$ coming from the perturbative expansion of the logarithm. As a result, the partition function 23 can be rewritten as:

$$\mathcal{Z}[J,\bar{J},\lambda] = e^X \int d\nu_{\mathbb{I}}(\sigma) e^{-\mathrm{Tr}\ln_2(1-i\sqrt{2\lambda}C\Sigma) - i\sum_{j=1}^4 \sqrt{2\lambda}\sum_{p_i} A(p_i)\sigma_{i,p_ip_i} - \bar{J}RJ} \tag{25}$$

with $\ln_2(1-x) := x + \ln(1-x) = O(x^2)$ and:

$$\sum_i \sum_{p_i} A(p_i)\sigma_{i,p_ip_i} := \mathrm{Tr}(C\Sigma) - \bar{\delta}m^2 \sum_i \mathrm{tr}(\sigma_i). \tag{26}$$

Note that $-2\lambda A(p_i)$ is nothing but the renormalized amplitude $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}_i}(p_i)$ for the melopole $\mathcal{M}_i$. As a result:

$$\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{p_i \in [-N,N]} A^2(p_i) = X. \tag{27}$$

As already explained in [1], due to the closure constraint, only the *diagonal part* $\tau_i(p_i) := (\sigma_i)_{p_i p_i}$ of the matrix σ_i contributes, so that 23 writes as

$$\mathcal{Z}[J,\bar{J},\lambda] = e^X \int d\nu_{\mathbb{I}}(\tau) e^{-\sum_{\vec{p}\in\mathcal{P}} \ln_2(1-i\sqrt{2\lambda}C_0(\vec{p})\Gamma(\vec{p}))-i\sqrt{2\lambda}\sum_{j=1}^4\sum_{p_j\in\mathcal{P}} A(p_j)\tau_j(p_j)} \tag{28}$$
$$\times\, e^{-\sum_{\vec{p}\in\mathcal{P}} \bar{J}(\vec{p})(1-i\sqrt{2\lambda}C_0(\vec{p}))\Gamma(\vec{p}))^{-1}C_0(\vec{p})J(\vec{p})},$$

where $C_0(\vec{p}) := (\vec{p}^2+m^2)^{-1}$, $\mathcal{P} := \{\vec{p}\in\mathbb{Z}^4 | \sum_i p_i = 0\}$, $\Gamma(\vec{p}) := \sum_i \tau_i$, and $d\nu_{\mathbb{I}}(\tau)$ is the Gaussian measure of the three vector fields, defined as:

$$\int d\nu_{\mathbb{I}}(\tau)\tau_i(p)\tau_j(p') := \delta_{ij}\delta_{pp'}. \tag{29}$$

Interestingly, the definition 28 can be further simplified. Indeed, because of equality 27,

$$\sum_{i=1}^4\sum_{p_i}\frac{1}{2}\tau_i^2(p_i) + i\sqrt{2\lambda}\sum_{j=1}^4\sum_{p_i\in\mathcal{P}} A(p_i)\tau_i(p_i) - X = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^4\sum_{p_i}\big(\tau_i(p_i) - i\sqrt{2\lambda}A(p_i)\big)^2, \tag{30}$$

the Gaussian measure for the intermediate field involves a translation. Taking into account this translation, the partition function 28 becomes:

$$\mathcal{Z}[J,\bar{J},\lambda] = \int d\nu_{\mathbb{I}}(\tau) e^{-\sum_{\vec{p}\in\mathcal{P}} \ln_2(1-i\sqrt{2\lambda}C_0(\vec{p})\Gamma(\vec{p})+2\lambda D(\vec{p}))} \tag{31}$$
$$\times\, e^{-\sum_{\vec{p}\in\mathcal{P}} \bar{J}(\vec{p})(1-i\sqrt{2\lambda}C_0(\vec{p}))\Gamma(\vec{p})+2\lambda D(\vec{p}))^{-1}C_0(\vec{p})J(\vec{p})}, \tag{32}$$

with the definition:

$$D(\vec{p}) := C_0(\vec{p})\sum_i A(p_i). \tag{33}$$

3 Multi-scale Loop Vertex Expansion

3.1 Slicing intermediate field decomposition

The regularization adopted in the previous part, in the cubic domain $[-N,N]^4$ is not the most natural with respect to the rotational invariance of the Laplacian. A more natural choice, taking into account this invariance, is the restriction: $0 \le \vec{p}^2 \le N^2$. We will adopt such a cut-off for the rest of this paper. In addition, we will proceed to a *slicing*, in order to make multi-scale analysis. To this end, we introduce an integer $M > 1$, the reason of a geometric progression M^j so that the upper $j = j_{max}$ verifies: $M^{j_{max}} = N$, and the notation $\chi_{\le x}(y) := \theta(x-y)$, with θ the Heaviside step function. Then, we define the following functions on $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^4)$, implementing closure constraint:

$$\chi_{\le 1} := \theta(M^2 - \vec{p}^2)\delta\Big(\sum_{i=1}^4 p_i\Big) \tag{34}$$

$$\chi_{\le j} := \theta(M^{2j} - \vec{p}^2)\delta\Big(\sum_{i=1}^4 p_i\Big) \quad j \ge 2 \tag{35}$$

$$\chi_j := \chi_{\le j} - \chi_{\le j-1} \quad j \ge 2, \tag{36}$$

where χ_i defines the i-th *slice*. With the definition

$$U(\vec{\tau}) := i\sqrt{2\lambda}C_0(\vec{p})\Gamma(\vec{p}) + 2\lambda D(\vec{p}) \tag{37}$$

where $\vec{\tau} = (\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4)$; the interaction with cut-off M^j writes

$$V_{\leq j} := \mathrm{Tr} \ln_2(1 - U_{\leq j}) = \mathrm{Tr}[\chi_{\leq j} \ln(1 - U)] \tag{38}$$

$$U_{\leq j} := i\sqrt{2\lambda} C_0(\vec{p})\Gamma(\vec{p})\chi_{\leq j} + 2\lambda D(\vec{p})\chi_{\leq j}, \tag{39}$$

and the interaction *in the slice* j is defined as the difference:

$$V_j := V_{\leq j} - V_{\leq j-1} \tag{40}$$

so that the sum over scale is equal to the original interaction with cut-off N:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{j_{max}} V_j = V, \tag{41}$$

and the partition function 31 can be written as

$$\mathcal{Z}[J, \bar{J}, \lambda] = \int d\nu_{\mathbb{I}}(\tau) \prod_{j=0}^{j_{max}} e^{-V_j}. \tag{42}$$

From the definitions 38 and 40, we deduce the explicit expression for V_j:

$$V_j := \mathrm{Tr}[\chi_j \ln_2(1 - U)] = \mathrm{Tr} \ln_2(1 - U_j) \tag{43}$$

with the definition:

$$U_j := i\sqrt{2\lambda} C_0(\vec{p})\Gamma(\vec{p})\chi_j + 2\lambda D(\vec{p})\chi_j. \tag{44}$$

3.2 Two-levels jungle expansion

As explained briefly in the introduction, the two-levels jungle expansion of the MLVE, which we summarize in this section, following closely [33]. It is an improved version of the standard Loop-Vertex Expansion, combining two successive forest-formulas.

We start with the definition

$$W_j(\vec{\tau}) := e^{-V_j(\vec{\tau})} - 1 \tag{45}$$

and rewrite the product over scales in 42 as a Grassmann integration:

$$\mathcal{Z}[J, \bar{J}, \lambda] = \int d\nu_{\mathbb{I}}(\tau) \prod_{j=0}^{j_{max}} d\mu(\bar{\eta}_j, \eta_j) e^{-\sum_j \bar{\eta}_j W_j(\vec{\tau})\eta_j}. \tag{46}$$

Let $\mathcal{S} := [0, j_{max}]$ the integer set of scales, and $\mathbb{I}_S$ the $|\mathcal{S}| \times |\mathcal{S}|$ identity matrix, which is the covariance of the Grassmann integration measure. Hence, the previous decomposition can be rewritten as:

$$\mathcal{Z}[J, \bar{J}, \lambda] = \int d\nu_{\mathbb{I}}(\tau) d\mu_{\mathbb{I}_S}(\bar{\eta}, \eta) e^{-W} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int d\nu_{\mathbb{I}}(\tau) d\mu_{\mathbb{I}_S}(\bar{\eta}, \eta)(-W)^n \tag{47}$$

where $W := \sum_j \bar{\eta}_j W_j(\vec{\tau})\eta_j$, and $\eta, \bar{\eta}$ denote all the Grassmann variables collectively. The first step is to introduce a *replica trick* for the Bosonic intermediate fields. We duplicate the intermediate field into copies, so that:

$$(-W(\tau))^n \to \prod_{m=1}^{n} (-W_m(\tau_m)) \tag{48}$$

and in the same time replace the covariance $\mathbb{I}$ by $\mathbf{1}_n$, the $n \times n$ matrix with all entries equals to 1, so that our measure writes as $d\nu_{\mathbf{1}}(\tau_m)$. Exchanging sum and Gaussian integration, 47 becomes:

$$\mathcal{Z}[J,\bar{J},\lambda] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int d\nu_{\mathbf{1}_n}(\tau_m) d\mu_{\mathbb{I}_S}(\bar{\eta},\eta) \prod_{m=1}^{n} (-W_m(\tau_m)). \tag{49}$$

The obstacle to factorize this integral over vertices lies now in the Bosonic degenerate blocs $\mathbf{1}_n$ and the fermionic fields, which couple the vertices W_m. Following the method exposed in [33], solving this difficulty requires two successive forest formula. The first one concerns the bosonic fields. Introducing the *coupling parameters* x_{mp}, so that $x_{mp} = x_{pm}$, $x_{pp} = 1$ between the vertex vector replicas, the equation 49 can be rewritten, as:

$$\mathcal{Z}[J,\bar{J},\lambda] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \left[e^{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{a,b=1}^{n} x_{ab} \sum_{i=1}^{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_i^a} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_i^b} + \sum_{j=0}^{j_{max}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\eta}_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_j}} \prod_{m=1}^{n} \left(-\sum_j \bar{\eta}_j W_j(\vec{\tau}_m) \eta_j \right) \right]_{\substack{\vec{\tau},\bar{\eta},\eta=0 \\ x_{ab}=1}} \tag{50}$$

where as in [33] we use the derivative formula equivalent to Gaussian integration. Applying the BKAR forest formula for the variables x_{ab}, it follows:

$$\mathcal{Z}[J,\bar{J},\lambda] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\mathcal{B}_n} \int_0^1 \left(\prod_{l \in \mathcal{B}_n} dw_l \right) \left[e^{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{a,b=1}^{n} X_{ab}(w_l) \sum_{i=1}^{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_i^a} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_i^b} + \sum_{j=0}^{j_{max}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\eta}_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_j}} \right. \tag{51}$$

$$\left. \times \prod_{l \in \mathcal{B}_n} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau_{is(l)} \partial \tau_{it(l)}} \right) \prod_{m=1}^{n} \left(-\sum_j \bar{\eta}_j W_j(\vec{\tau}_m) \eta_j \right) \right]_{\vec{\tau},\bar{\eta},\eta=0} \tag{52}$$

where $\mathcal{B}_n$ denotes a *bosonic forest* with n vertices, and where the positive symmetric matrices X_{ab} are defined in Theorem 1 of the companion paper [**?**]. The forest $\mathcal{B}_n$ partitions the set of vertices into blocs, corresponding to its connected components, which are trees, and that we denote by $\mathfrak{V}$. Obviously, each vertex belongs to a unique Bosonic block. Contracting every Bosonic block into an "effective vertex", we obtain a graph which we denote by $\{1,...,n\}/\mathcal{B}_n$. The last forest formula concerns Fermionic fields. We introduce replica Fermionic fields $\eta_j^{\mathfrak{V}}$ for the effective vertices of $\{1,...,n\}/\mathcal{B}_n$, and replica coupling parameters $y_{\mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{V}'}$. Applying the forest formula to these variables, and denoting by $\mathcal{F}$ the generic Fermionic forest connecting blocks, and $\mathfrak{V}(l_f), \mathfrak{V}(l_f)$ the end blocks of the Fermionic lines in $l_f \in \mathcal{F}$, we find:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{Z}[J,\bar{J},\lambda] = & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\mathcal{B}_n} \sum_{\mathcal{F}} \int_0^1 \prod_{l \in \mathcal{B}_n} dw_l \prod_{l_f \in \mathcal{F}} dw_{l_f} \\
& \times \left[e^{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{a,b=1}^{n} X_{ab}(w_l) \sum_{i=1}^{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_i^a} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_i^b} + \sum_{\mathfrak{V},\mathfrak{V}'} Y_{\mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{V}'}(w_{l_f}) \sum_{j=0}^{j_{max}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\eta}_j^{\mathfrak{V}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_j^{\mathfrak{V}'}}} \right. \\
& \times \prod_{l \in \mathcal{B}_n} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau_{is(l)} \partial \tau_{it(l)}} \right) \prod_{l_f \in \mathcal{F}} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{j_{max}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\eta}_j^{\mathfrak{V}(l_f)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_j^{\mathfrak{V}'(l_f)}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\eta}_j^{\mathfrak{V}'(l_f)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta_j^{\mathfrak{V}(l_f)}} \right) \right) \\
& \left. \times \prod_{\mathfrak{V}} \prod_{m \in \mathfrak{V}} \left(-\sum_j \bar{\eta}_j^{\mathfrak{V}} W_j(\vec{\tau}_m) \eta_j^{\mathfrak{V}} \right) \right]_{\vec{\tau},\bar{\eta},\eta=0}.
\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

Note that the Fermionic lines are oriented. Expanding the sums over j, using the basic properties of the derivations for Bosonic and Fermionic fields, and expanding explicitly each sum over pairs of internal vertices in blocks $\mathfrak{V}$ in order to reveal the *detailed Fermionic edges* ℓ_f between vertices in the end blocks of a given Fermionic line l_f joining together these two blocks; we obtain, following [33] the *two-levels jungle formula*:

$$\mathcal{Z}[J,\bar{J},\lambda] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\mathcal{J}} \left[\prod_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j_k=0}^{j_{max}} \right] \int dw_{\mathcal{J}} \int d\nu_{\mathcal{J}} \partial_{\mathcal{J}} \left[\prod_{\mathfrak{V}} \prod_{m \in \mathfrak{V}} W_{j_m}(\vec{\tau}_m) \bar{\eta}_{j_m}^{\mathfrak{V}} \eta_{j_m}^{\mathfrak{V}} \right]$$

where

• The sum over $\mathcal{J}$ runs over all two-level jungles, hence over all oriented pairs $\mathcal{J} = (\mathcal{B}_n, \mathcal{F}_F)$ of two disjoint forests on the set $\{1, ..., n\}$, such that $\bar{\mathcal{J}} = \mathcal{B}_n \cup \mathcal{F}_F$ is still a forest on $\{1, ..., n\}$. The $\mathcal{B}_n$ and $\mathcal{F}_F$ are called Bosonic and fermionic components of $\mathcal{J}$. Note that the lines of $\mathcal{J}$ are partitioned into Bosonic and Fermionic lines.

• $\int dw_{\mathcal{J}}$ means integration from 0 to 1 over parameters $w_{\mathcal{J}}$, one for each line in $\bar{\mathcal{J}}$, coming from forest formula.

•

$$\partial_{\mathcal{J}} = \prod_{l \in \mathcal{B}_n} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \tau_{is(l)} \partial \tau_{it(l)}} \right) \prod_{\ell_f \in \mathcal{F}_F} \delta_{j_{s(\ell_f)} j_{t(\ell_f)}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\eta}^{\mathfrak{V}(s(\ell_f))}_{j_{s(\ell_f)}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta^{\mathfrak{V}(t(\ell_f))}_{j_{t(\ell_f)}}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\eta}^{\mathfrak{V}(t(\ell_f))}_{j_{t(\ell_f)}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta^{\mathfrak{V}(s(\ell_f))}_{j_{s(\ell_f)}}} \right) \quad (54)$$

where $\mathfrak{V}(m)$ denotes the Bosonic blocks to which m belongs.

• The measure $d\nu_{\mathcal{J}}$, mixing Bosonic and Fermionic integrations is defined as, for some F:

$$\int d\nu_{\mathcal{J}} F := e^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^{n} X_{ab}(w_l) \sum_{i=1}^{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_i^a} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_i^b} + \sum_{\mathfrak{V},\mathfrak{V}'} Y_{\mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{V}'}(w_{l_f}) \sum_{m \in \mathfrak{V}, m' \in \mathfrak{V}} \delta_{j_m j_{m'}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\eta}^{\mathfrak{V}}_{j_m}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta^{\mathfrak{V}'}_{j_{m'}}}} F \Big|_{\vec{\tau}, \bar{\eta}, \eta = 0} \quad (55)$$

Since the slice assignments, the fields, the measure and the integrand are now factorized over the connected components of $\bar{\mathcal{J}}$, the logarithm of Z is easily computed as the restriction of the previous sum 54 to the two-levels spanning trees (the connected component of the two-level forests):

$$\ln \mathcal{Z}[J, \bar{J}, \lambda] = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\mathcal{J}\, tree} \left[\prod_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j_k=0}^{j_{max}} \right] \int dw_{\mathcal{J}} \int d\nu_{\mathcal{J}} \partial_{\mathcal{J}} \left[\prod_{\mathfrak{V}} \prod_{m \in \mathfrak{V}} W_{j_m}(\vec{\tau}_m) \bar{\eta}^{\mathfrak{V}}_{j_m} \eta^{\mathfrak{V}}_{j_m} \right]. \quad (56)$$

The rest of this paper is devoted to the proof of the following Theorem

Theorem 1 *Let $\lambda = \rho e^{i\phi}$, $\phi \in [0, \pi/2]$. For ρ small enough, the series 56 is absolutely and uniformly convergent in j_{max}, for g in the small open cardioid domain defined by $|\lambda| \leq \rho \cos(\phi/2)$. The ultra-violet limit $\ln(Z) = \lim_{j_{max} \to \infty} \ln(Z[\lambda, j_{max}])$ is therefore well-defined and analytic in that cardioid domain, and is the Borel sum of its perturbative expansion in power of λ.*

4 Bounds and convergence

4.1 The Grassmann integrals

The sum 56 splits into Grassmann and Bosonic integrals, and we start with the first. As explained in [33]], due to the standard properties of Grassmann integration, the Gaussian integration over these variables can be written as:

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{V}} \prod_{m \in \mathfrak{V}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\eta}^{\mathfrak{V}}_{j_m}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta^{\mathfrak{V}}_{j_m}} \right) e^{\sum_{\mathfrak{V},\mathfrak{V}'} Y_{\mathfrak{V}\mathfrak{V}'}(w_{l_f}) \sum_{m \in \mathfrak{V}, m' \in \mathfrak{V}} \delta_{j_m j_{m'}} \bar{\eta}^{\mathfrak{V}}_{j_m} \eta^{\mathfrak{V}'}_{j_{m'}}}$$
$$\times \prod_{\ell_f \in \mathcal{F}_F} \delta_{j_{s(\ell_f)} j_{t(\ell_f)}} \left(\bar{\eta}^{\mathfrak{V}(s(\ell_f))}_{j_{s(\ell_f)}} \eta^{\mathfrak{V}(t(\ell_f))}_{j_{t(\ell_f)}} + \bar{\eta}^{\mathfrak{V}(t(\ell_f))}_{j_{t(\ell_f)}} \eta^{\mathfrak{V}(s(\ell_f))}_{j_{s(\ell_f)}} \right) \Big|_{\bar{\eta}, \eta = 0}. \quad (57)$$

Denoting $\mathbf{Y}_{mm'} := Y_{\mathfrak{V}(m)\mathfrak{V}(m')}\delta_{j_m j_{m'}}$, and taking into account that this matrix is symmetric, the previous Gaussian integral turns to the more familiar form:

$$\int \prod_{\mathfrak{V}} \prod_{m\in\mathfrak{V}} d\bar{\eta}^{\mathfrak{V}}_{j_m} d\eta^{\mathfrak{V}}_{j_m} e^{-\sum_{a,b} \bar{\eta}^{\mathfrak{V}(m)}_{j_m} \mathbf{Y}_{mm'} \eta^{\mathfrak{V}(m')}_{j_m}} \prod_{\ell_f\in\mathcal{F}_F} \delta_{j_{s(\ell_f)} j_{t(\ell_f)}} \left(\bar{\eta}^{\mathfrak{V}(s(\ell_f))}_{j_{s(\ell_f)}} \eta^{\mathfrak{V}(t(\ell_f))}_{j_{t(\ell_f)}} + \bar{\eta}^{\mathfrak{V}(t(\ell_f))}_{j_{t(\ell_f)}} \eta^{\mathfrak{V}(s(\ell_f))}_{j_{s(\ell_f)}} \right). \tag{58}$$

Defining:

$$\mathbf{Y}^{p_1,\dots,p_k}_{m_1,\dots,m_k} := \int \prod_{\mathfrak{V}} \prod_{m\in\mathfrak{V}} d\bar{\eta}^{\mathfrak{V}}_{j_m} d\eta^{\mathfrak{V}}_{j_m} e^{-\sum_{a,b} \bar{\eta}^{\mathfrak{V}(m)}_{j_m} \mathbf{Y}_{mm'} \eta^{\mathfrak{V}(m')}_{j_m}} \prod_{r=1}^{k} \bar{\eta}^{\mathfrak{V}(r)}_{j_r} \eta^{\mathfrak{V}(r)}_{j_r}, \tag{59}$$

and taking into account what the authors of [33] have called the *hard core constraint inside each blocks*, meaning that the integral 58 vanishes if two vertices belong to the same Bosonic block $\mathfrak{V}$ with the same scale attribution, they write 58 as:

$$\left(\prod_{\mathfrak{V}} \prod_{\substack{m,m'\in\mathfrak{V} \\ m\neq m'}} (1-\delta_{j_m j_{m'}}) \right) \left(\prod_{\ell_f\in\mathcal{F}_F} \delta_{j_{s(\ell_f)} j_{t(\ell_f)}} \right) \left(\mathbf{Y}^{p_1,\dots,p_k}_{m_1,\dots,m_k} + \mathbf{Y}^{m_1,\dots,p_k}_{p_1,\dots,m_k} + \dots + \mathbf{Y}^{m_1,\dots,m_k}_{p_1,\dots,p_k} \right) \tag{60}$$

where the sum runs over the 2^k ways to exchange the upper and lower indices, and $k := |\mathcal{F}_F|$ is the cardinal of the Fermionic forest, and the first product implements the hard core constraint. For our purpose, the following result, for which a proof can be found in [33], is important:

Lemma 1 *Due to the positivity of the covariance $\boldsymbol{Y}$, for any $\{m_i\}$ and $\{p_i\}$ the minor $\boldsymbol{Y}^{p_1,\dots,p_k}_{m_1,\dots,m_k}$ defined in 59 satisfies:*

$$|\, \boldsymbol{Y}^{p_1,\dots,p_k}_{m_1,\dots,m_k} \,| \leq 1. \tag{61}$$

4.2 Bosonic integrals

We now move on to the problem of the Bosonic integrals, whose bound is more subtle than the Fermionic one. From formula 56, Bosonic integration factorizes over each blocks $\mathfrak{V}$. As a result, we can only consider and bound one of these block contributions. Let us consider such a block $\mathfrak{V}$. It involves the Gaussian integration:

$$\int d\nu_{\mathfrak{V}} F_{\mathfrak{V}}(\vec{\tau}) = e^{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{a,b=1}^{n} X_{ab}(w_l) \sum_{i=1}^{4} \frac{\partial}{\partial\tau_i^a}\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau_i^b}} F_{\mathfrak{v}}(\vec{\tau})\big|_{\vec{\tau}=0} \tag{62}$$

with $F_{\mathfrak{v}}(\vec{\tau})$ defined as:

$$F_{\mathfrak{V}}(\vec{\tau}) = \prod_{l\in\mathfrak{V}} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\tau_{is(l)}\partial\tau_{it(l)}} \right) \prod_{m\in\mathfrak{V}} W_{j_m}(\vec{\tau}_m). \tag{63}$$

The derivatives $\partial/\partial\tau$ can be evaluated from the famous Faà di Bruno formula, extending the standard derivation rule for composed functions, and easily proved by induction:

$$\partial_x^q f(g(x)) = \sum_{\pi} f^{|\pi|}(g(x)) \prod_{B\in\pi} g^{|B|}(x), \tag{64}$$

where π runs over the partitions of the set $\{1,...,q\}$ and B runs through the blocks of the partition π. With this helpful result, and from the definitions 43 and 45, we have:

$$\partial_\tau(-V_j) = -i\sqrt{2\lambda} \sum_{\vec{p}\in\mathcal{P}} C_0(\vec{p})\chi_j(1-R_j(\vec{p})) = i\sqrt{2\lambda} \sum_{\vec{p}\in\mathcal{P}} C_0(\vec{p})\chi_j U_j R_j(\vec{p}), \tag{65}$$

a formula which can be easily extended for a derivative of degree $k > 0$ as:

$$\partial_\tau^k(-V_j) = (i\sqrt{2\lambda})^k (k-1)! \sum_{\vec{p}\in\mathcal{P}_j} C_0^k(\vec{p}) R_j^k \tag{66}$$

where $\mathcal{P}_j$ is the intersection of the gauge invariant subset $\mathcal{P} \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ with the support of the function χ_j on the slice j and:

$$R_j := \frac{1}{1-U_j}. \tag{67}$$

The k-th derivative of W_j can be deduced from Faà di Bruno formula. For $k > 0$:

$$\partial_\tau^k(-V_j) = e^{-V_j} \sum_{\substack{\{m_l\} \\ \sum_{l\geq 1} l m_l = k}} \frac{k!}{\prod_{l\geq 1} m_l! (l!)^{m_l}} \prod_{l\geq 1} [\partial_\tau^l(-V_j)]^{m_l}. \tag{68}$$

In 63, we can rewrite the product as a product over the *arcs* of the vertices:

$$F_{\mathfrak{V}}(\vec{\tau}) = \prod_{m\in\mathfrak{V}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_{im}}\right)^{c(m)} W_{j_m}(\vec{\tau}_m). \tag{69}$$

where $c(m)$ is the *coordination number* of the vertex m, equal to the number of half lines of the intermediate-fields hooked to this vertex. Then, the Bosonic integral 62 becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} \int d\nu_{\mathfrak{V}} \Big[\prod_{m\in\mathfrak{V}} (i\sqrt{2\lambda})^{c(m)} \sum_{\substack{\{x_l^{(m)}\} \\ \sum_{l\geq 1} l x_l^{(m)} = c(m)}} \frac{c(m)!}{\prod_{l\geq 1} x_l^{(m)}! l^{x_l^{(m)}}} \\ \times \Big(\sum_{\vec{p}_{\alpha_1}\in\mathcal{P}_{j_m}} C_0(\vec{p}) U_{j_m}(\vec{p}) R_{j_m}(\vec{p}) \Big)^{x_1^{(m)}} \Big(\sum_{\vec{p}_{\alpha_l}\in\mathcal{P}_{j_m}} C_0^l(\vec{p}) R_{j_m}^l(\vec{p}) \Big)^{x_l^{(m)}} \Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

Lemma 2 *Because C_0 and Γ are real, and $D(\vec{p})$ is positive, R_{j_m} obeys the following bounds:*

$$|R_j| \leq \left| \frac{1}{\cos(\phi/2)} \right| \tag{71}$$

with $\phi := \arg(\lambda) \in]-\pi, \pi[$.

Then, using the constraint: $\sum_m c(m) = 2(|\mathfrak{V}| - 1)$, with $|\mathfrak{V}|$ the number of vertices of $\mathfrak{V}$, 70 admits the bound:

$$\begin{aligned} \Big| \int d\nu_{\mathfrak{V}} F_{\mathfrak{V}}(\vec{\tau}) \Big| \leq \left(\frac{2\lambda}{\cos^2(\phi/2)} \right)^{|\mathfrak{V}|-1} \int d\nu_{\mathfrak{V}} \Big[\prod_{m\in\mathfrak{V}} e^{-V_{j_m}(\vec{\tau}_m)} \sum_{\substack{\{x_l^{(m)}\} \\ \sum_{l\geq 1} l x_l^{(m)} = c(m)}} \frac{c(m)!}{\prod_{l\geq 1} x_l^{(m)}! l^{x_l^{(m)}}} \\ \times \Big(\sum_{\vec{p}_{\alpha_1}\in\mathcal{P}_{j_m}} C_0(\vec{p}) U_{j_m}(\vec{p}) \Big)^{x_1^{(m)}} \prod_{l>1} \Big(\sum_{\vec{p}_{\alpha_l}\in\mathcal{P}_{j_m}} C_0^l(\vec{p}) \Big)^{x_l^{(m)}} \Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

Note that U_{j_m} involves intermediate fields. Defining:

$$G_{\mathfrak{V}} := \prod_{m\in\mathfrak{V}} \sum_{\substack{\{x_l^{(m)}\} \\ \sum_{l\geq 1} l x_l^{(m)} = c(m)}} \frac{c(m)!}{\prod_{l\geq 1} x_l^{(m)}! l^{x_l^{(m)}}} \Big(\sum_{\vec{p}_{\alpha_1}\in\mathcal{P}_{j_m}} C_0(\vec{p}) U_{j_m}(\vec{p}) \Big)^{x_1^{(m)}} \prod_{l>1} \Big(\sum_{\vec{p}_{\alpha_l}\in\mathcal{P}_{j_m}} C_0^l(\vec{p}) \Big)^{x_l^{(m)}}, \tag{73}$$

and since the Gaussian measure $d\nu_{\mathfrak{V}}$ is positive, we can use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to get:

$$\int d\nu_{\mathfrak{V}} \prod_{m\in\mathfrak{V}} e^{-V_{j_m}(\vec{\tau}_m)} G_{\mathfrak{V}} \leq \Big(\int d\nu_{\mathfrak{V}} \prod_{m\in\mathfrak{V}} |e^{-2V_{j_m}(\vec{\tau}_m)}| \Big)^{1/2} \Big(\int d\nu_{\mathfrak{V}} |G_{\mathfrak{V}}|^2 \Big)^{1/2}. \tag{74}$$

We shall treat separately each term, calling the first term the *non-perturbative factor*, and the second the *perturbative factor*, following the conventions of [33]. Note that in our derivation of the bound 74, we have not considered the special case for which the tree has one vertex only. This particular contribution involves melonic vacuum diagrams, discarded by construction with their corresponding counter-terms, and non-melonic vacuum diagrams. Because these diagrams are convergent, these contributions can be easily bounded, and they do not spoil the conclusion[3].

4.3 Bound of the Bosonic integral

We begin with the first term, the non perturbative contribution:

$$B_1 := \int d\nu_{\mathfrak{V}} \prod_{m\in\mathfrak{V}} \Big| e^{-2V_{j_m}(\vec{\tau}_m)} \Big|. \tag{75}$$

Firstly, note that: $\big|e^{-2V_{j_m}(\vec{\tau}_m)}\big| \leq e^{2|V_{j_m}(\vec{\tau}_m)|}$. Secondly, because of the identity:

$$\ln_2(1-x) = \int_0^1 dt \frac{tx^2}{1-tx}, \tag{76}$$

we have, from Lemma 2:

$$|V_j| \leq \Big| \frac{1}{\cos(\phi/2)} \Big| \Big| \sum_{\vec{p}} U_j(\vec{p}) \Big|^2 \leq \Big| \frac{1}{\cos(\phi/2)} \Big| \sum_{\vec{p}} |U_j(\vec{p})|^2 \tag{77}$$

and we get:

$$B_1 \leq \int d\nu_{\mathfrak{V}} \prod_{m\in\mathfrak{V}} \exp\Big(\Big| \frac{2}{\cos(\phi/2)} \Big| \sum_{\vec{p}} |U_j(\vec{p})|^2 \Big). \tag{78}$$

Using Definition 31,

$$\sum_{\vec{p}} |U_j(\vec{p})|^2 = 2|\lambda| \big[C_0^2(\vec{p}) \Gamma^2(\vec{p}) + 2|\lambda| D^2(\vec{p}) + 2\sqrt{2|\lambda|} C_0(\vec{p}) D(\vec{p}) \Gamma(\vec{p}) \big]. \tag{79}$$

From Definition 33 of $D(\vec{p})$, and because the renormalized function $A(p)$ behaves as $\ln(p^2+m^2)$, $D^2(\vec{p}) \leq \mathcal{O}(1)$. Similarly, $\sum_{\vec{p}} \delta_{p_1 p} C_0(\vec{p}) D(\vec{p}) \leq \mathcal{O}(1)$. For λ small enough, we deduce that:

$$\sum_{\vec{p}} |U_j(\vec{p})|^2 \leq 2\lambda \big[\mathcal{O}(1) + \sum_{\vec{p}\in\mathcal{P}_j} C_0^2(\vec{p}) \Gamma^2(\vec{p}) \big] \tag{80}$$

Since one more time, $\sum_{\vec{p}} \delta_{p_1 p} C_0^2(\vec{p}) \leq \mathcal{O}(1)$, it leads to

$$\sum_{\vec{p}} |U_{j_m}(\vec{p})|^2 \leq 2\lambda \sup\big(\mathcal{O}(1)\big) \Big[1 + \sum_{i,j} \sum_{p_i,p_j} \tau_{im}(p_i) \tau_{jm}(p_j) \Big] \tag{81}$$

where the notation $\sup\big(\mathcal{O}(1)\big)$ stands for the highest of the numerical constants involved in the first bound. The term of degree 2 in τ gives an effective variance $\mathbb{I}_4 \otimes X_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1} - \Big| \frac{8\lambda}{\cos(\phi/2)} \Big| \mathbf{1}_4 \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{B}}$, where

[3] This can be easily proved rigorously with integration by part with respect to the intermediate field, following a standard strategy exposed for instance in [33].

$\mathbf{1}_4$ is the matrix in the color space of intermediate fields with all entries equal to 1, $\mathbb{I}_4$ the identity matrix in the same space, and $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{B}}$ is the identity matrix in replica space. The Gaussian integration is easy, and gives (taking into account the normalization of original Gaussian measure):

$$B_1 \leq e^{\mathcal{O}(1)\left|\frac{4\lambda|\mathfrak{V}|}{\cos(\phi/2)}\right|} \times \det\left[\mathbb{I}_4 \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{B}} - \left|\frac{8\lambda}{\cos(\phi/2)}\right| \mathbf{1}_4 \otimes X_{\mathcal{B}}\right]. \tag{82}$$

The determinant can be computed in terms of traces with the formula $\det(1-X) = e^{\mathrm{Tr}\ln(1-X)}$. Denoting:

$$X = \left|\frac{8\lambda}{\cos(\phi/2)}\right| \mathbf{1}_4 \otimes X_{\mathcal{B}}, \tag{83}$$

we have:

$$\mathrm{Tr}(X) = \left|\frac{32\lambda}{\cos(\phi/2)}\right| |\mathcal{B}|, \tag{84}$$

and, for the norm of X:

$$||X|| \leq \left|\frac{32\lambda}{\cos(\phi/2)}\right|. \tag{85}$$

where in both cases we used the fact that all diagonal entries of $X_{\mathcal{B}}$ are equal to 1. Using the Taylor expansion $-\ln(1-X) = \sum_{n\geq 1} X^n/n$, the two previous bounds imply:

$$-\mathrm{Tr}\ln(1-X) = \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{\mathrm{Tr}(X^n)}{n} \leq \mathrm{Tr}(X) \sum_{n\geq 2} \frac{||X||^n}{n} \leq |\mathcal{B}| \times \sum_{n\geq 1} \left|\frac{32\lambda}{\cos(\phi/2)}\right|^n \tag{86}$$

and for λ small enough, we find:

$$B_1 \leq e^{\mathcal{O}(1)\left|\frac{\lambda}{\cos(\phi/2)}\right||\mathfrak{V}|}. \tag{87}$$

We now move on to the perturbative bound:

$$B_2 := \left(\int d\nu_{\mathfrak{V}} |G_{\mathfrak{V}}|^2\right)^{1/2}. \tag{88}$$

For $l > 1$ we have:

$$\sum_{\vec{p}\in\mathcal{P}_j} C_0^l(\vec{p}) \leq \sum_{\vec{p}\in\mathcal{P}_j} C_0^2(\vec{p}) \leq \frac{1}{M^{4(j-1)}} \sum_{\vec{p}\in\mathcal{P}_j} 1. \tag{89}$$

The last sum can be bounded by the integral over the volume of the intersection between the plane of $\mathbb{R}^4$ of equation $\sum_{i=1}^4 p_i = 0$ and the volume in between the hyper-spheres of equations $\vec{p}^2 = M^{2(j-1)}$ and $\vec{p}^2 = M^{2j}$. This volume corresponds to the volume between the two spheres of $\mathbb{R}^3$ of radius M^j and M^{j-1}, times a factor $1/2$ coming from the normalization of Kronecker delta:

$$\sum_{\vec{p}\in\mathcal{P}_j} 1 \leq \frac{1}{2} \times \left(\mathbb{V}_j - \mathbb{V}_{j-1}\right) \leq \frac{2}{3}\pi M^{3j} \tag{90}$$

and:

$$\sum_{\vec{p}\in\mathcal{P}_j} C_0^l(\vec{p}) \leq \frac{2}{3}\pi M^4 M^{-j}. \tag{91}$$

The Gaussian integrals can be computed more easily by reversing the field translation 30. Because, obviously: $|e^{-i\sqrt{2\lambda}\sum_{j=1}^4 \sum_{p_j\in\mathcal{P}} A(p_j)\tau_j(p_j)}| \leq 1$, we can treat the integral for the back-translated intermediate fields with the simple replacement: $C_0(\vec{p})U_{j_m}(\vec{p}) \to i\sqrt{2\lambda}C_0(\vec{p})\chi_{j_m}\Gamma_m(\vec{p})$, and an additional factor $e^{-4\mathrm{Tr}(X_{\mathcal{B}})\sum_p A^2(p)\chi_j} \leq 1$. We then have a Gaussian integral of the form:

$$H_{\mathfrak{V}} := \int d\nu_{\mathfrak{V}} \prod_{m\in\mathfrak{V}} \left(\sum_{\vec{p}_{\alpha_1}\in\mathcal{P}_{j_m}} C_0^2(\vec{p})\Gamma_m(\vec{p})\right)^{k_m}. \tag{92}$$

Such an integral can be pictured as a graph with $|\mathfrak{V}|$ vertices, labeled by m, and with m half colored intermediate field lines hooked to them. By Wick theorem, the Gaussian integration joins together half lines between the vertices. In the worst case the graph has no loop, and because $||X_{\mathcal{B}}|| \leq 1$, it follows that the Gaussian integration 92 is bounded by:

$$|H_{\mathfrak{V}}| \leq 4^{\sum_m k_m} \prod_{m\in\mathfrak{V}} \left(\frac{2}{3}\pi M^4 M^{-j_m}\right)^{k_m} \times \left(\sum_{m\in\mathfrak{V}} k_m\right)!! \tag{93}$$

where we have taken into account the 4 choices for the colors of intermediate field lines through the factor $4^{\sum_m k_m}$. For 88, the double factorial is:

$$\left(2\sum_{m\in\mathfrak{V}} x_1^{(m)}\right)!! \leq (4|\mathfrak{V}|-4)!! \tag{94}$$

Moreover, taking into account that

$$\sum_{\substack{\{x_l^{(m)}\} \\ \sum_{l\geq 1} l x_l^{(m)} = c(m)}} \frac{1}{\prod_{l\geq 1} x_l^{(m)}! l^{x_l^{(m)}}} \tag{95}$$

is the coefficient of $x^{c(m)}$ in the Taylor expansion of $\prod_k e^{x^k/k} = 1/(1-x)$ allows to treat easily the square of the combinatorial factor in 88. We find the bound:

$$|B_2| \leq \sqrt{(4|\mathfrak{V}|-4)!!} \times \prod_{m\in\mathfrak{V}} \sum_{\substack{\{x_l^{(m)}\} \\ \sum_{l\geq 1} l x_l^{(m)} = c(m)}} \frac{c(m)!}{\prod_{l\geq 1} x_l^{(m)}! l^{x_l^{(m)}}} (2\lambda)^{x_1^{(m)}/2} \left(\frac{8\pi}{3}\right)^{c(m)} M^{-(j_m-4)} \tag{96}$$

where, following [33], we assume that the scales j have an inferior bound $j_{min} > 4$ (this is certainly not essential, since the first slices can be treated by a simple LVE). Choosing $|\lambda| \leq 1$, and with the remark following 95, we find finally the pessimistic bound

$$|B_2| \leq \sqrt{(4|\mathfrak{V}|-4)!!} \times \prod_{m\in\mathfrak{V}} \left(\frac{8\pi}{3}\right)^{c(m)} c(m)! M^{-j_m}. \tag{97}$$

Taking into account the bound 87, we find, using 74:

$$\left|\int d\nu_{\mathfrak{V}} \prod_{m\in\mathfrak{V}} e^{-V_{j_m}(\vec{\tau}_m)} G_{\mathfrak{V}}\right| \leq e^{\mathcal{O}(1)\left|\frac{\lambda}{\cos(\phi/2)}\right||\mathfrak{V}|} \sqrt{(4|\mathfrak{V}|-4)!!} \times \prod_{m\in\mathfrak{V}} c(m)! \left(\frac{8\pi}{3}\right)^{c(m)} M^{-j_m} \tag{98}$$

and:

$$\left|\int d\nu_{\mathfrak{V}} F_{\mathfrak{V}}(\vec{\tau})\right| \leq \left(\frac{2\lambda}{\cos^2(\phi/2)}\right)^{|\mathfrak{V}|-1} \sqrt{(4|\mathfrak{V}|-4)!!} \times \prod_{m\in\mathfrak{V}} c(m)! \left(\frac{8\pi}{3}\right)^{c(m)} M^{-j_m}. \tag{99}$$

4.4 Final Bound

Collecting together the results of sections 4.1 and 4.3, we find the bound for the expansion 56 of the free energy $\ln(Z)$:

$$\begin{aligned} |\ln \mathcal{Z}[J,\bar{J},\lambda]| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\mathcal{J}\, tree} \left[\prod_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{j_k=0}^{j_{max}}\right] 2^{L(\mathcal{F}_F)} \left(\prod_{\ell_f\in\mathcal{F}_F} \delta_{j_{s(\ell_f)} j_{t(\ell_f)}}\right) \prod_{\mathfrak{V}} \prod_{\substack{m,m'\in\mathfrak{V} \\ m\neq m'}} (1-\delta_{j_m j_{m'}}) \\ \times \left(\frac{2|\lambda|}{\cos^2(\phi/2)}\right)^{|\mathfrak{V}|-1} \sqrt{(4|\mathfrak{V}|-4)!!} \times \prod_{m\in\mathfrak{V}} c(m)! \left(\frac{8\pi}{3}\right)^{c(m)} M^{-j_m}. \end{aligned} \tag{100}$$

At this stage, the reasoning follows exactly that of [33]. Thanks to *Cayley's Theorem*, the number of trees with n labeled vertices and coordination numbers c_i for each vertex $i = 1, ..., n$ is given by:

$$\frac{(n-2)!}{\prod_i (c_i - 1)!}. \tag{101}$$

This result shows that the sum involved in 100 obeys

$$\sum_{\substack{c(m)|\sum_m c(m)=2\\ \mathfrak{V}|-2}} \prod_{m\in\mathfrak{V}} c(m) = \frac{(3|\mathfrak{V}|-3)!}{(|\mathfrak{V}|-2)!(2|\mathfrak{V}|-1)!}\ . \tag{102}$$

Collecting all the factorials leads to:

$$\sqrt{(4|\mathfrak{V}|-4)!!}\frac{(3|\mathfrak{V}|-3)!}{(2|\mathfrak{V}|-1)!}. \tag{103}$$

Using Stirling's formula as in [33]

$$2\sqrt{(4|\mathfrak{V}|-4)!!}\frac{(3|\mathfrak{V}|-3)!}{(2|\mathfrak{V}|-1)!} \leq (|\mathfrak{V}|-1)!3^{|3\mathfrak{V}|}e^{-|\mathfrak{V}|}|\mathfrak{V}|^{|\mathfrak{V}|}. \tag{104}$$

We now move on to sum over scale attributions, taking into account the hard core constraint. As explained in details in [33], the hard core constraint imposes that the scale assignments of vertices in a same block are all different, which implies:

$$\sum_{m\in\mathfrak{V}} j_m \geq j_{min} + (j_{min}+1) + \cdots + (j_{min}+|\mathfrak{V}|-1) = j_{min}|\mathfrak{V}| + \frac{|\mathfrak{V}|(|\mathfrak{V}|-1)}{4} \tag{105}$$

and:

$$\sum_{m\in\mathfrak{V}} (j_m - 2) \geq \frac{1}{2}\sum_{m\in\mathfrak{V}} j_m + \frac{j_{min}-4}{2}|\mathfrak{V}| + \frac{|\mathfrak{V}|(|\mathfrak{V}|-1)}{4}, \tag{106}$$

where we have introduced explicitly the minimal scale $j_{min} > 4$. This result implies that,

$$\sum_{\{j_m\}} \prod_{\substack{m,m'\in\mathfrak{V}\\ m\neq m'}} (1-\delta_{j_m j_{m'}}) \prod_{m\in\mathfrak{V}} M^{-j_m} \leq \left(\sum_{j=j_{min}}^{j_{max}} M^{-j/2}\right)^{|\mathfrak{V}|} \frac{1}{M^{\frac{j_{min}-4}{2}|\mathfrak{V}| + \frac{|\mathfrak{V}|(|\mathfrak{V}|-1)}{4}}} \tag{107}$$

which, for $j_{min} > 4$ and $M > 4$, is uniformly bounded by $M^{-|\mathfrak{V}|^2/4}$. The upper bound j_{max} can now be sent to infinity without any divergence, allowing to define non-perturbatively the ultraviolet limit of the theory.

The final step is to sum over the Fermionic forest. Such a forest can be partitioned into components of cardinal b_k, associated to connected blocks of size k, hence with k sub-vertices. The number of Fermionic lines is then $\sum_k b_k - 1$. For each component with k sub-vertices, there are n^{b_k} ways to hook a Fermionic line. Moreover, Cayley's theorem (without constraint on the coordinate number) states that the number of trees with v labeled vertices is v^{v-2}, giving in our case a contribution $n^{\sum_k b_k - 2}$. Finally, with the constraint $\sum_k k b_k = n$, when the number of (sub) vertices is fixed to n, and the easy bound, coming from Stirling formula : $n^{(\sum_k b_k)-2} \leq (\sum_k b_k)! e^n$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} |\ln \mathcal{Z}[J,\bar{J},\lambda]| \leq \sum_n \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\substack{\{b_k\}\\ \sum_k k b_k = n}} & \frac{n!}{\prod_k b_k!(k!)^{b_k}} 2^{\sum_k b_k - 1} k^{(\sum_k b_k)-2} \prod_k n^{b_k} \\ & \times \prod_k \left[\left(\frac{128\pi^2|\lambda|}{9\cos^2(\phi/2)}\right)^{k-1} \sqrt{(4k-4)!!}\frac{(3k-3)!}{(2k-1)!} M^{-k^2/4}\right]^{b_k}. \end{aligned} \tag{108}$$

Taking into account the bound 104, in addition to the easy bound, coming from Stirling formula : $n^{(\sum_k b_k)-2} \leq (\sum_k b_k)! e^n$, and performing the sum over the b_i, we find the final bound:

$$|\ln \mathcal{Z}[J, \bar{J}, \lambda]| \leq \sum_{b \geq 0} \left[\sum_{n \geq 1} \left(\frac{128\pi^2 |\lambda|}{9 \cos^2(\phi/2)} \right)^{n-1} 3^{3n} n^n M^{-n^2/4} \right]^b. \tag{109}$$

The power of M ensures that, for M sufficiently large, this factor compensates the bad divergence associated to n^n. The radius of convergence is then finite, and the factor $\cos^2(\phi/2)$ establishes the domain of uniform convergence as stated in Theorem 1.

5 Conclusion

In this first constructive paper for super-renormalizable TGFTs, we have successfully applied the Multi-scale Loop Vertex Expansion to the simplest super-renormalizable TGFT. The theorem of this paper can easily be extended to connected Schwinger functions, introducing additional resolvents for each pair of derivations with respect to the external sources. Interestingly, the fact that the closure constraint reduces the intermediate fields' freedom degrees to vector-like intermediate fields considerably simplified the proof of the convergence. In particular, in [35] some technical difficulties occurring from the non-commutativity of the operators involved in the resolvents forced us to use complicated iterated Cauchy-Schwarz estimates. They disappear in our TGFT thanks to the closure constraint.

The next step of this constructive program would be to construct the same model for $d = 5$ and $d = 6$. Presumably, the extension to the $d = 5$ case should be doable, because it is still super-renormalizable, and requires only of a finite number of subtractions. The just-renormalizable $d = 6$ case, however, may be more difficult. However, a promising indication for the future is that this theory is ultra-violet asymptotically free.

6 Acknowledgments

The author specially thanks his supervisor Vincent Rivasseau for his suggestions at the initiative of this project, his advice and his patient proofreading; and his wife Julie Lahoche for the languages corrections.

References

[1] V. Lahoche, Constructive Tensorial Group Field Theory I: The $U(1)$-T_3^4 Model, arXiv...

[2] D. Oriti, "The microscopic dynamics of quantum space as a group field theory," in *Foundations of space and time*, G. Ellis, et al. (eds.) (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge UK, 2012), arXiv:1110.5606 [hep-th];

D. Oriti, "The Group field theory approach to quantum gravity," in *Approaches to quantum gravity*, D. Oriti (ed.) (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge UK, 2009), [gr-qc/0607032];

L. Freidel, "Group field theory: An Overview," Int. J. Theor. Phys. **44**, 1769 (2005) [hep-th/0505016];

A. Baratin and D. Oriti, "Ten questions on Group Field Theory (and their tentative answers)," J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **360**, 012002 (2012) [arXiv:1112.3270 [gr-qc]].

T. Krajewski, "Group field theories," PoS QGQGS **2011**, 005 (2011) [arXiv:1210.6257 [gr-qc]].

[3] P. Di Francesco, P. H. Ginsparg and J. Zinn-Justin, "2-D Gravity and random matrices," Phys. Rept. **254**, 1 (1995) [arXiv:hep-th/9306153].

[4] J. Ambjorn, B. Durhuus and T. Jonsson, "Three-Dimensional Simplicial Quantum Gravity And Generalized Matrix Models," Mod. Phys. Lett. A **6**, 1133 (1991).

M. Gross, "Tensor models and simplicial quantum gravity in $>$ 2-D," Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **25A**, 144 (1992).

[5] R. Gurau, "The 1/N expansion of colored tensor models," Annales Henri Poincare **12**, 829 (2011) [arXiv:1011.2726 [gr-qc]].

[6] R. Gurau and V. Rivasseau, "The 1/N expansion of colored tensor models in arbitrary dimension," Europhys. Lett. **95**, 50004 (2011), [arXiv:1101.4182 [gr-qc]].

[7] R. Gurau, "The complete 1/N expansion of colored tensor models in arbitrary dimension," Annales Henri Poincare **13**, 399 (2012) [arXiv:1102.5759 [gr-qc]].

[8] V. Bonzom, R. Gurau, A. Riello and V. Rivasseau, "Critical behavior of colored tensor models in the large N limit," Nucl. Phys. B **853** (2011) 174 [arXiv:1105.3122 [hep-th]].

[9] V. Bonzom, R. Gurau, and V. Rivasseau, "Random tensor models in the large N limit: Uncoloring the colored tensor models," Phys. Rev. **D85**, 084037 (2012), [arXiv:1202.3637 [hep-th]].

[10] R. Gurau and J. P. Ryan, "Melons are branched polymers," Annales Henri Poincare **15** no. 11, (2014) 2085, [arXiv:1302.4386 [math-ph]];

S. Dartois, R. Gurau, and V. Rivasseau, "Double scaling in tensor models with a quartic interaction," JHEP **1309** (2013) 088 [arXiv:1307.5281 [hep-th]];

V. Bonzom, R. Gurau, J. P. Ryan, and A. Tanasa, "The double scaling limit of random tensor models," JHEP **1409**, 051 (2014) [arXiv:1404.7517 [hep-th]];

[11] C. Rovelli, "The Basis of the Ponzano-Regge-Turaev-Viro-Ooguri quantum gravity model in the loop representation basis," Phys. Rev. D **48**, 2702 (1993) [hep-th/9304164].

[12] D. Oriti, "Group field theory as the 2nd quantization of Loop Quantum Gravity," arXiv:1310.7786 [gr-qc]; D. Oriti, "Group Field Theory and Loop Quantum Gravity," arXiv:1408.7112 [gr-qc];

D. Oriti, J. P. Ryan and J. Thürigen, "Group field theories for all loop quantum gravity," New J.Phys. 17, 023042 (2015) [arXiv:1409.3150 [gr-qc]].

[13] T. Delepouve and R. Gurau, "Phase Transition in Tensor Models," JHEP **1506**, 178 (2015) [arXiv:1504.05745 [hep-th]].

[14] D. Benedetti and R. Gurau, "Symmetry breaking in tensor models," arXiv:1506.08542 [hep-th].

[15] V. Rivasseau, "Quantum Gravity and Renormalization: The Tensor Track," AIP Conf. Proc. **1444**, 18 (2011) [arXiv:1112.5104 [hep-th]].

V. Rivasseau, "The Tensor Track: an Update," arXiv:1209.5284 [hep-th].

V. Rivasseau, "The Tensor Track, III," Fortsch. Phys. **62**, 81 (2014) [arXiv:1311.1461 [hep-th]].

[16] L. Freidel, R. Gurau and D. Oriti, "Group field theory renormalization - the 3d case: Power counting of divergences," Phys. Rev. D **80**, 044007 (2009) [arXiv:0905.3772 [hep-th]].

J. Ben Geloun, J. Magnen and V. Rivasseau, "Bosonic Colored Group Field Theory," Eur. Phys. J. C **70**, 1119 (2010) [arXiv:0911.1719 [hep-th]].

J. Ben Geloun, T. Krajewski, J. Magnen and V. Rivasseau, "Linearized Group Field Theory and Power Counting Theorems," Class. Quant. Grav. **27**, 155012 (2010) [arXiv:1002.3592 [hep-th]];

J. Ben Geloun and V. Bonzom, "Radiative corrections in the Boulatov-Ooguri tensor model: The 2-point function," Int. J. Theor. Phys. **50**, 2819 (2011) [arXiv:1101.4294 [hep-th]];

[17] J. Ben Geloun and V. Rivasseau, "A Renormalizable 4-Dimensional Tensor Field Theory," Commun. Math. Phys. **318**, 69 (2013) [arXiv:1111.4997 [hep-th]].

J. Ben Geloun and V. Rivasseau, "Addendum to 'A Renormalizable 4-Dimensional Tensor Field Theory'," Commun. Math. Phys. **322**, 957 (2013) [arXiv:1209.4606 [hep-th]].

J. Ben Geloun and E. R. Livine, "Some classes of renormalizable tensor models," J. Math. Phys. **54**, 082303 (2013) [arXiv:1207.0416 [hep-th]].

J. Ben Geloun, "Renormalizable Models in Rank $d \geq 2$ Tensorial Group Field Theory," Commun. Math. Phys. **332**, 117–188 (2014) [arXiv:1306.1201 [hep-th]];

J. Ben Geloun and D. O. Samary, "3D Tensor Field Theory: Renormalization and One-loop β-functions," Annales Henri Poincare **14**, 1599 (2013) [arXiv:1201.0176 [hep-th]].

J. Ben Geloun, "Two and four-loop β-functions of rank 4 renormalizable tensor field theories," Class. Quant. Grav. **29**, 235011 (2012) [arXiv:1205.5513 [hep-th]].

D. O. Samary, "Beta functions of $U(1)^d$ gauge invariant just renormalizable tensor models," Phys. Rev. D **88**, 105003 (2013) [arXiv:1303.7256 [hep-th]].

[18] S. Carrozza, D. Oriti and V. Rivasseau, "Renormalization of Tensorial Group Field Theories: Abelian U(1) Models in Four Dimensions," Commun. Math. Phys. **327**, 603 (2014) [arXiv:1207.6734 [hep-th]].

[19] S. Carrozza, D. Oriti and V. Rivasseau, "Renormalization of Tensorial Group Field Theories: Abelian U(1) Models in Four Dimensions," Commun. Math. Phys. **327**, 603 (2014) [arXiv:1207.6734 [hep-th]].

S. Carrozza, D. Oriti and V. Rivasseau, "Renormalization of a SU(2) Tensorial Group Field Theory in Three Dimensions," Commun. Math. Phys. **330**, 581 (2014) [arXiv:1303.6772 [hep-th]].

S. Carrozza, "Tensorial methods and renormalization in Group Field Theories," Springer Theses, 2014 (Springer, NY, 2014), arXiv:1310.3736 [hep-th].

[20] D. O. Samary and F. Vignes-Tourneret, "Just Renormalizable TGFT's on $U(1)^d$ with Gauge Invariance," Commun. Math. Phys. **329**, 545 (2014) [arXiv:1211.2618 [hep-th]].

M. Raasakka and A. Tanasa, "Combinatorial Hopf algebra for the Ben Geloun-Rivasseau tensor field theory," Seminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire 70 (2014), B70d [arXiv:1306.1022 [gr-qc]].

D. O. Samary, "Closed equations of the two-point functions for tensorial group field theory," Class. Quant. Grav. **31**, 185005 (2014) [arXiv:1401.2096 [hep-th]].

J. Ben Geloun, "On the finite amplitudes for open graphs in Abelian dynamical colored Boulatov-Ooguri models," J. Phys. A **46**, 402002 (2013) [arXiv:1307.8299 [hep-th]].

S. Carrozza, "Discrete Renormalization Group for SU(2) Tensorial Group Field Theory," arXiv:1407.4615 [hep-th].

V. Lahoche and D. Oriti, "Renormalization of a tensorial field theory on the homogeneous space SU(2)/U(1)," arXiv:1506.08393 [hep-th].

[21] V. Lahoche, D. Oriti and V. Rivasseau, "Renormalization of an Abelian Tensor Group Field Theory: Solution at Leading Order," JHEP **1504**, 095 (2015) [arXiv:1501.02086 [hep-th]].

D. Benedetti and V. Lahoche "Functional Renormalization Group Approach for Tensorial Group Field Theory: a Rank-6 Model with Closure Constraint", arXiv:1508.06384 [hep-th].

[22] Dine Ousmane Samary, "Beta functions of U(1)d gauge invariant just renormalizable tensor models", Phys.Rev. D88, 105003 (2013) [arXiv:1303.7256[hep-th]].

[23] V. Rivasseau, "Why are tensor field theories asymptotically free?", arXiv: 507.04190v1.

[24] D. Benedetti, J. Ben Geloun and D. Oriti, "Functional Renormalisation Group Approach for Tensorial Group Field Theory: a Rank-3 Model," JHEP **1503**, 084 (2015) [arXiv:1411.3180 [hep-th]].

[25] J. Ben Geloun, R. Martini and D. Oriti, "Functional Renormalisation Group analysis of a Tensorial Group Field Theory on $\mathbb{R}^3$," arXiv:1508.01855 [hep-th].

[26] S. Carrozza, "Group field theory in dimension $4 - \epsilon$," Phys. Rev. D **91**, 065023 (2015) [arXiv:1411.5385 [hep-th]].

[27] M. Reuter and F. Saueressig, "Quantum Einstein Gravity," New J. Phys. **14**, 055022 (2012) [arXiv:1202.2274].

[28] A. Eichhorn and T. Koslowski, "Continuum limit in matrix models for quantum gravity from the Functional Renormalization Group," Phys. Rev. D **88**, 084016 (2013) [arXiv:1309.1690 [gr-qc]].

A. Eichhorn and T. Koslowski, "Towards phase transitions between discrete and continuum quantum spacetime from the Renormalization Group," Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 10, 104039 (2014) [arXiv:1408.4127 [gr-qc]].

[29] D. Oriti, "Group field theory as the microscopic description of the quantum spacetime fluid: a new perspective on the continuum in quantum gravity", arXiv:0710.3276 [gr-qc].

[30] V. Rivasseau, "From perturbative to constructive renormalization", Princeton University Press (1991).

[31] V. Rivasseau, "Constructive Matrix Theory," JHEP **0709**, 008 (2007) [arXiv:0706.1224 [hep-th]].

J. Magnen and V. Rivasseau, "Constructive phi**4 field theory without tears," Annales Henri Poincare **9**, 403 (2008) [arXiv:0706.2457 [math-ph]].

V. Rivasseau and Z. Wang, "Loop Vertex Expansion for Phi**2K Theory in Zero Dimension," J. Math.Phys.51, 092304 (2010), arXiv:1003.1037 [math-ph].

[32] V. Rivasseau and Z. Wang, "How to Resum Feynman Graphs," Annales Henri Poincare **15**, no. 11, 2069 (2014) [arXiv:1304.5913 [math-ph]].

[33] R. Gurau and V. Rivasseau, "The Multiscale Loop Vertex Expansion", arXiv:1312.7226 [math-ph].

[34] V. Rivasseau and Z. Wang, "Corrected Loop Vertex Expansion for Phi42 Theory", arXiv:1406.7428[math-ph].

[35] T. Delepouve and V. Rivasseau, "Constructive Tensor Field Theory: The T^4_3 Model," arXiv:1412.5091 [math-ph].

[36] A. Abdesselam and V. Rivasseau, "Trees, forests and jungles: A Botanical garden for cluster expansions," Springer Lect. Notes Phys. **446**, 7 (1995) [hep-th/9409094].

[37] A. Abdesselam and V. Rivasseau, Lett. Math. Phys. **44**, 77 (1998).

[38] R. Gurau, "The $1/N$ Expansion of Tensor Models Beyond Perturbation Theory", Comm. Math. Phys.330, 973-1019 (2014), arXiv:1304.2666.

T. Delepouve, R. Gurau and V. Rivasseau, "Universality and Borel Summability of Arbitrary Quartic Tensor Models", arXiv:1403.0170 [hep-th].